



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,235	10/31/2006	Syouji Nogami	P35795	8539

7590 04/01/2009  
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.  
1950 Roland Clarke Place  
Reston, VA 20191

EXAMINER
----------

MCCALL SHEPARD, SONYA D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2813

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/01/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/562,235

**Applicant(s)**

NOGAMI ET AL.

**Examiner**

Sonya D. McCall-Shepard

**Art Unit**

2813

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sonya D. McCall-Shepard.(3) Erica Lin.(2) Steve Roylance (Reg. 31,296).

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: none.

Claim(s) discussed: 13-24.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Restriction requirement of claims 13-24. Preliminary amended application presented newly added claims 13-24. Claims 13 and 14 were included into Group I, drawn to a method of manufacturing a semiconductor wafer and claims 15-24 were included into Group II, drawn to a semiconductor wafer.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/S. D. M./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2813

/Matthew C. Landau/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2813